

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/870,936	06/06/97	HAMPAPUR		Α	VIRAGE.007A
				EXAMINER	
LM02/0118 KNOEBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR				RAO,A	
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SIXTENTH F	LOOR ACH CA 9266	00016		2712	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/870,386 Hamapapur et al. Examiner Group Art Unit 2713 Anand Rao

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Anand Rao</u> (3)
(2) <u>Mr. Carson (#34,303)</u> (4)
Date of Interview Jan 14, 2000
Type: □Telephonic Mersonal (copy is given to applicant apMaicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 📆 If yes, brief description:
Agreement _was reached. Weas not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 1-23 (with particular attention to claims 1, 8, 18, and newly proposed claimed 23).
Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 5,635,982 to Zhang et al.
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  After discussing the Zhang reference in detail, the proposed limitations of 'a structural difference measured based on identified edges 'as in claims 1, 6, 18 and proposed claim 23 which discloses the using "non-acculumated differences" overcomes the art of record, and would place the application in a favorable condition for allowance if submitted as a formal response after final.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. 🛚 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. ANDY RACHER PRIMARY
PRIMON ANAND RAO PATENT EXAMINER

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 10-95)

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.